arXiv:1401.5736v4 [math.GT] 28 Apr 2014

STATISTICS OF 3-MANIFOLDS OCCASIONALLY FIBERING OVER THE CIRCLE

IGOR RIVIN

Abstract. We study random elements of subgroups (and cosets) of the mapping class group of a closed hyperbolic surface, in part through the properties of their mapping tori. In particular, we study the distribution of the homology of the mapping torus (with rational, integer, and finite field coefficients, the hyperbolic volume (whenever the manifold is hyperbolic), the dilatation of the monodromy, the injectivity radius, and the bottom eigenvalue of the Laplacian on these mapping tori. We also study mapping tori of punctured surface bundles, and various invariants of their Dehn fillings. We also study corresponding questions in the Dunfield-Thurston ([18]) model of random Heegard splittings of fixed genus, and give a number of new and improved results in that setting.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Some background 5
2.1. Homology 5
2.2. Geometric structures 6
3. Random matrix products and homology 6
4. Applications to homology of fibered manifolds 7
5. Statistics of volume and related quantities 8
5.1. Volume the hard way 8
5.2. Volume the easy way 9
5.3. Rank of the fundamental group 9

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57N10;20F34;57M50;20F34;60B15.

The author would like to thank Jeff Brock, Nathan Dunfield, Mark Bell, Saul Schleimer, Anders Karlsson, Alex Eskin, Juan Souto, Ian Biringer, Kasra Rafi, Stefan Friedl, and David Futer for useful conversations, Richard Stanley for the nice proof of Theorem 2.5 and ICERM and Brown University for their generous support and hospitality during the writing of this paper.The very extensive computational experiments would not have been possible without generous support. I also would like to thank Emmanuel Kowalski for helpful comments on the first version of this preprint. The computations discussed were performed using Mathematica, SnapPy, and Twister [8]. A previous version of this paper (containing most of the results for fibered manifolds) was circulated as the arXiv.org preprint [75].

5.4. Injectivity radius 10
5.5. Bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian 11
5.6. Dilatation of a random pseudo-Anosov 12
5.7. Essential surfaces 13
6. Fibered manifolds with prescribed homology 13
7. Random subgroups 13
8. Fibered cusped manifolds and Dehn filling 14
8.1. Integer homology spheres 15
8.2. Essential surfaces 16
9. Experimental results 17
9.1. Homology 17
9.2. Volume 17
9.3. Volume and homology 20
9.4. The Humphries generators for the Mapping Class Group of a closed surface 22
10. Other generating sets 23
11. Random Heegard Splittings 25
11.1. Homology 25
11.2. Betti numbers of covering spaces 27
11.3. Other topological invariants 28
11.4. Geometric quantities 29
11.5. Experimental Results 29
12. In conclusion 32
References 32

1. Introduction

The modern study of random 3-manifolds, and their relationship to random elements of the mapping class group was initiated by N. Dunfield and W. Thurston in their foundational paper [18] (they studied "random Heegaard splittings"). Their work spurred on considerable progress in the field, which lies at the crossroads of three-manifold topology, surface topology, dynamics, ergodic theory, number theory, algebraic groups, and the study of Kleinian groups. In addition, while Dunfield and Thurston were motivated by the Virtual Haken Conjecture, in the interim Agol, Groves and Manning [3] extended the work of Dani Wise and collaborators (see, e.g., [35]) to resolve the (much stronger) Virtual Fibering Conjecture, which makes 3-manifolds fibering over S^1 even more interesting than in the past. With this in mind, in this paper we undertake the study of random manifolds fibering over S^1, and discover that much can be said, and much remains mysterious.

Let S be a surface of genus g, and let $\phi : S \circlearrowleft$ be an automorphism of S. For such a map ϕ, we can define the mapping torus M_ϕ, which will be a three-dimensional manifold fibering over the circle S^1.

It is clear that the properties of ϕ are reflected in the topology of M_ϕ, and, consequently, an understanding of the properties of "random" surface automorphisms leads to an understanding of "random" manifolds fibering over the circle. The word "random" is in quotes because there are a number of possible ways we can define what it means – see the author's survey [73]. In this note we will define a random element of the mapping class group (or a subgroup thereof) as a "long" random product of generators (the generating set is assumed to be symmetric), though all of our results hold with a somewhat more general definition using symmetric recognizing automata for permitted words.

Here is a list of some of our observations, we will denote the set of random products of length n by W_n. In fact, it is not necessary we take random products of generators of the whole mapping class group, so the list below is only to give the flavor of the results we find. In all statements, ϕ is a uniformly chosen random element of W_n.

- The map ϕ is pseudo-Anosov, with probability approaching 1 exponentially fast in n.
- The mapping torus M_ϕ is a hyperbolic manifold with probability approaching 1 exponentially fast in n.
- The hyperbolic volume of the mapping torus M_ϕ grows linearly in n.
- The first Betti number of the mapping torus M_ϕ of ϕ is 1, with probability approaching 1 exponentially fast in n.
- The expected value of the logarithm of the order of the torsion subgroup of $H_1(M_\phi, \mathbb{Z})$ grows linearly in n. Furthermore, this logarithm is asymptotically normally distributed.
- The probability that the rank of $H_1(M_\phi, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ for a prime p is greater than k asymptotically approaches c_k/p^k, where c_k are universal constants.
- The rank of the fundamental group of M_ϕ is generically $2g + 1$.
- The injectivity radius of M_ϕ goes to zero with n.
- The smallest positive eigenvalue $\lambda_1(M_\phi)$ goes to zero between linearly and quadratically as a function of n.

We also study manifolds which fiber over the circle with fiber a cusped surface. We study the generic behavior of the cusp of the resulting (generically hyperbolic) manifold, and use it to show that for a generic such manifold *all* the Dehn fillings are hyperbolic. In addition, by varying the model somewhat, we get large families of *integer homology spheres* with control on the Casson invariants. We also make the following conjectures (coming from computational experiments):

- The expected hyperbolic volume of a surface bundle grows linearly in the length of the monodromy as a word in the mapping class group.
- The expected growth rates of both volume and logarithm of the torsion subgroup of homology of a mapping torus approach *finite* limits as the genus of the fiber becomes large.

We also give a number of results on the Dunfield-Thurston model of random Heegaard splittings.

- The cardinality of the first homology group of a random Heegard splitting grows exponentially with the length of the gluing map, and there are precise limit theorems for its size (this is true if the gluing map is chosen from a nonelementary subgroup of the mapping class group, whose Torelli image is Zariski dense in $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$.
- Under the same assumptions as above, the *Kneser-Matve'ev complexity* of the manifold grows linearly.
- Under the same assumptions, the probability that the manifold has a Galois cover with Galois group Q (which is assumed to be solvable) and positive first Betti number goes to zero with the length of the gluing map.
- The volume of a random Heegard splitting goes to infinity linearly with the length of the defining word (resolving a conjecture of Dunfield-Thurston), while
- The Cheeger constant, the injectivity radius, and the bottom eigenvalue of the Laplacian go to zero with the length of the word (this is true for any nonelementary subgroup).

We also conjecture that the probability that the random manifold is Haken goes to zero with the length of the gluing map.

The plan of the rest of the paper is as follows:

In Section 2 we will recall some background.

In Section 3 we study the homology of random mapping tori.

In Section 5 we study the hyperbolic volumes and other geometric invariants of random mapping tori.

In Section 6 we find many hyperbolic manifolds fibering over the circle with bounded volume and prescribed structure of the first integral homology group.

In Section 7 we discuss the structure of a random subgroup of the mapping class group.

In Section 8 we study fibered manifolds with punctured fiber, and their Dehn fillings.

In Section 9 we will describe some experimental results and conjectures.

In Section 11 we address random Heegaard splittings *a la* Dunfield-Thurston.

2. Some background

In this section we will recall some (fairly diverse) background facts.

2.1. **Homology.** The following result is shown (as Example 2.48) in A. Hatcher's Algebraic Topology [38]:

Fact 2.1 ([38][Example 2.48)**.** *Let X be a space, $\phi : M \circlearrowleft$ be a self-map of X, and let M_ϕ be the mapping torus of ϕ. Then, we have the following exact sequence:*

$$\cdots \to H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\phi_* - \iota_*} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_n(M_\phi) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\phi_* - \iota_*} H_{n-1}(X) \to \dots,$$

where ι is the identity map, and the lower $*$ indicates the induced map on homology.

In the case of interest to us, X is closed connected surface, so letting $n = 1$, we have $H_0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and the map ϕ_* is identity on zeroth homology, so we have:

$$\cdots \to H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi_* - \iota_*} H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_1(M_\phi, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ module, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *If X is a connected topological space, $\phi : X \circlearrowleft$ a self-map of X, and M_ϕ is the mapping torus M_ϕ, then*

$$H_1(M_\phi, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \operatorname{coker}(\phi_* - \iota_*) \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

Corollary 2.3. *The rank of homology $H_1(M_\phi, F)$ with coefficients in a field F equals 1 plus the dimension of the eigenspace with eigenvalue 1 of ϕ_*.*

Corollary 2.4. *If the map $\phi_* - \iota_*$ is nonsingular, then the order of the torsion subgroup of $H_1(M_\phi, \mathbb{Z})$ equals $|\det(\phi_* - \iota_*)|$.*

In the sequel we will need the following result, which can be proved in a number of ways.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_{2n}$ be integers. Then, there exists a symplectic matrix M, such that the elementary divisors of $M - I$ are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}$.*

Proof. For $n = 1$, $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose the elementary divisors are $\alpha_1 = r, \alpha_2 = rs$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - r(1 + rs) & r \\ -r(1 + s + rs) & 1 + r \end{pmatrix}$$

is the required matrix.[1] Now, for $n > 1$ we construct a block-diagonal matrix with required elementary divisors. □

[1] The author is indebted to R.P. Stanley for this construction

2.2. **Geometric structures.** The foundational result for mapping tori is Thurston's theorem:

Theorem 2.6 (Thurston's geometrization theorem for 3-manifolds fibering over the circle)**.** *Let ϕ be a pseudo-anosov automorphism of a finite area hyperbolic surface S. Then, M_ϕ admits a unique (by the Mostow-Prasad rigidity theorem) complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume.*

The obvious question raised by (already highly non-trivial) Theorem 2.6 is: what can we say about the hyperbolic geometry of M_ϕ if we have some information about ϕ. The simplest (in some ways) invariant of a hyperbolic manifold is its volume, and the basic result is Jeff Brock's theorem:

Theorem 2.7 (Jeff Brock [14])**.** *For a pseudo-anosov Map ϕ, let $\|\phi\|$ be the translation distance of ϕ on Teichmüller space equipped with the Weil-Peterson metric. Then, for some universal constant $K > 0$, we have:*

$$\frac{1}{K}\|\phi\| \leqslant \text{Volume}(M_\phi) \leqslant K\|\phi\|.$$

Remark 2.8. As Brock had also shown (see [13]), the Weil-Peterson metric is coarsely isometric to the pants complex distance, which, in turn, majorizes the curve complex distance.

3. Random matrix products and homology

Consider a real semisimple algebraic group G, and consider products of elements of the set $S = \{M_1, \ldots, M_k\}$. Consider a random such product P of length n. Using the *KAK* decomposition (known in the numerical linear algebra circles as the Singular Value Decomposition), we can ask about the distribution of the ordered set of singular values of P, which is the same as the distribution of the main diagonal of A. The fundamental result here is the following theorem of Y. Guivarc'h [32] (the paper is the culmination of other work of Y. Guivarc'h with his collaborators I. Goldsheid and A. Raugi, see [27, 33]; the first paper, together with the classic paper of Goldsheid and Margulis [29] are required reading in the subject):

Theorem 3.1 ([32][Theorem 3)**.** *] If the subgroup generated by the set S is* Zariski dense *in G, then the random variable*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\log A - nL(S)\right),$$

where $L(s)$ is the vector of Lyapunov exponents of the set S, converges in distribution to a Gaussian random vector.

Remark 3.2. Whether or not a subgroup of a semisimple group is Zarsiki-dense can be efficiently determined using the results of [72].

We will also use some of our own results (we are stating a special case, for the more general case, see [64, 43, 72])

Theorem 3.3 ([67, 70, 68, 69, 71])**.** *Suppose that S (as above) is a symmetric generating set of a Zariski dense subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$. Then, the products S_n are equidistributed modulo p for all but a finite number of primes p. The set of exceptional primes is empty if S generates $G(\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, the speed of convergence is exponential in the length n of the product.*

and also

Theorem 3.4 ([68, 69, 71, 64])**.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.3, the characteristic polynomial of a random product has probability exponentially small in n of having reducible characteristic polynomial (in fact, more is true, the Galois group of the characteristic polynomial has exponentially small probability of being nongeneric – generic being the Weyl group of the ambient Lie group (as Theorem 3.3 is currently stated, the Weyl group is either S_n in the case of $\mathrm{SL}(n)$, or $C_2 \wr S_n$ in the case of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$.)*

4. Applications to homology of fibered manifolds

Results of sections 2.1 and 3 lead, essentially immediately, to the following results.Below, a *random* (Γ, n) *manifold* is one whose (symplectic monodromy) is obtained by a random product of a symmetric generating set of a Zariski dense subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 4.1. *The probability that the first Betti number of a random (Γ, n) manifold is greater than 1 decreases exponentially as a function of n.*

Proof. Indeed, the first Betti number exceeds 1 only if 1 is an eigenvalue of the monodromy, which means that, in particular, the characteristic polynomial of the monodromy is reducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, thus the result follows from Theorem 3.4 □

Corollary 4.2. *A generic (Γ, n) manifold fibers in a* unique *way.*

See E. Hironaka's nice paper [39] for a discussion of the other situation (where there are many fiberings) – of course, there are many of those produced randomly as well, as long as we take a non-elementary subgroup of the mapping class group whose Torelli image is *not* Zariski-dense in the symplectic group.

Theorem 4.3. *For a prime number p, the probability of the rank of $\mathbb{F}_p$ homology of a random (Γ, n) manifold being greater than k is of the order of $1/p^k$ for n large.*

Proof. This follows from the result attributed to A. Borel in [16], together with Theorem 3.3. The result of Borel states that the characteristic polynomials are essentially equidistributed in $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Now, it is clear that around a $1/p^k$ fraction of all characteristic polynomials have the form $(1-x)^k q(x)$. □

Theorem 4.4. *The log of the order of torsion T in $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ for M a (Γ, n) manifold grows linearly with n. furthermore, for some quantity a, (the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents of the random matrix products corresponding to the generating set S, we have $(\log T - na)/\sqrt{n}$ is asymptotically normally distributed.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Guivarc'h's Theorem 3.1, since the order of torsion is $T = \det(M - I)$, where M is the monodromy, so for large n we see that $\log T$ is basically the sum of the top g singular values, which is normal by Theorem 3.1. □

Note that Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 are in some sense opposite. The first states that it is unlikely to have more than the "standard" amount of p torsion, for any given p, the second states that the amount of torsion grows exponentially, which, together indicates that the number of prime divisors of the torsion T satisfies a prime number theorem (that is, grows like $n/\log n$.)

5. Statistics of volume and related quantities

5.1. **Volume the hard way.** By Brock's theorem, the volume of a surface bundle is quasi-the-same as the Weil-Petersson translation distance of the monodromy. Note that, as observed by S. Kojima in [47], it is not necessary for the bundle to actually be hyperbolic for this statement to make sense - we define volume as simply the volume of the hyperbolic pieces in the JSJ decomposition of the mapping torus. Now, we have the following version of the multiplicative ergodic theorem (due to A. Karlsson and G. Margulis [45]):

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a (finitely generated) semigroup of isometries of a uniformly convex, complete, CAT(0) metric space X, then for almost every random product P of the generators,*

$$\lim \frac{1}{n} d(y, P(y)) = A,$$

and for $A > 0$, the orbit follows a geodesic ray starting at y.

Remark 5.2. the first part of the theorem, which is what we will be using in this section is at trivial consequence of the subadditive ergodic theorem – the interesting part of the Karlsson-Margulis theorem 5.1 is the fact that random products follow geodesic rays. What is usually not trivial is showing that the magic constant A is positive. This generally follows from the non-amenability of our (semi)group,

and therefore we will here and in the future impose the condition that our group contains two non-commuting pseudo-Anosov elements – this is commonly known as a "nonelementary" subgroup of the mapping class group.

We can now state the next result:

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that $S = \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k\}$ generate a non-elementary subgroup of the mapping class group of genus $g > 1$. Then, the Gromov norm of the mapping torus of a random product Γ_n of length n of the γ_i is almost surely contained in the interval (nC_1, nC_2), for some some positive constants C_1, C_2, which depend only on S.*

In fact, since all the results apply without change to *cosets* of our nonelementary group Γ, we have the following corollary (which will be useful in the sequel):

Corollary 5.4. *Let x be a mapping class, and Γ a nonelementary subgroup of the mapping class group. Then, if Γ_n is a random product of length n in Γ, the Gromov norm of the mapping torus with monodromy $x\Gamma_n$ is almost surely contained in the interval (nC_1, nC_2), where C_1, C_2 are the same constants as in the statement of Theorem 5.3.*

Remark 5.5. In fact, thanks to the results of Joseph Maher and the author ([56, 68, 69, 71]), we know that a random element as in Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 is pseudo-Anosov, with probabiity approaching 1 exponentially fast in n, so the mapping torus is hyperbolic except in exponentially few exceptional cases. We can thus replace "Gromov norm" by "hyperbolic volume".

Remark 5.6. The fact that the speed of divergence to infinity is non-zero also follows from Joseph Maher's theorem on speed of divergence to infinity for the curve complex distance (which is a lower bound on the pants distance and Weil-Petersson distance), see [55]

5.2. **Volume the easy way.** We note that the results of Namazi-Souto described in Section 11.4 apply (and are much easier) in the case of surface bundles over the circle, so the proof in that section works *verbatim* here. This still uses the machine of bi-Lipschitz models, but none of the dynamics machinery used above. Of course, using the results of [14] in the opposite direction shows that the Weil-Petersson translation distance of random surface automorphisms has positive drift (without using the deep results of Maher).

5.3. **Rank of the fundamental group.** The fundamental group of the mapping torus of an automorphism of a surface of genus g has a generating set of cardinality $2g + 1$, The following result has been claimed by Ian Biringer and Juan Souto:

Theorem 5.7 (Biringer-Souto [10])**.** *Let S be a surface of genus g. There exists an n_0, such that if M_ϕ be the mapping torus of a pseudo-Anosov mapping ϕ whose translation*

distance in the curve complex is greater than n_0, then the rank of the fundamental group of M_ϕ is equal to $2g + 1$.

Theorem 5.7 together with J. Maher's result [56] shows that a generic mapping torus of automorphisms in *any* nonelementary subgroup Γ (or a coset thereof) of the mapping class group has maximal rank of fundamental group. Unfortunately, while by the work of Kapovich and Weidmann it is possible to decide what the rank is, there is no remotely efficient algorithm to do so (and since, as discussed above), we can construct a coset of a nonelementary subgroup of the mapping class group in such a way as to get prescribed homology, we know that the abelianization tells us very little about the rank of the fundamental group.

5.4. **Injectivity radius.** It is known that a generic (in almost any sense) measured lamination does not have bounded complexity, and this implies that the injectivity radius of a generic mapping torus will go to zero with the length of the monodromy. What is less clear is *how fast* it will go to zero.

Question 5.8. What is the expected injectivity radius (or systole length, in the punctured case) of the mapping torus of a random automorphism of length n in a coset of a nonelementary subgroup Γ of the mapping class group of a surface of genus g.

It turns out that one can get intuition for this question from the case of the punctured torus (which is not a closed surface, but this is not relevant for our purpose). This has been studied in the classical work by Yair Minsky [60]. It follows from Equation 4.4 in Minsky's paper that:

Theorem 5.9. *If the monodromy $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ has the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, and let $[d_1, \ldots, d_k]$ be the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{a}{b}$. Then, the systole length of M_ϕ is bounded above by*

$$\frac{c}{(\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant k} d_i)^2}.$$

Now, in if we look at the generating set τ, σ for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that the convergents (or "digits") of the continued fraction correspond to *runs* of τ in the monodromy words. By the celebrated Erdős-Renyi Theorem [19] (see also [66][Chapter 7], the length of the maximal such run in a string of length n is almost surely equal to $c \log n$, so for that generating set we see that the injectivity radius for a random mapping torus with monodromy of length n is bounded above by $c/\log^2 n$, and we conjecture that this is true in general:

Conjecture 5.10. *The injectivity radius of a random mapping torus of a surface S decays as* $1/\log^2 n$.

Remark 5.11. It is clearly enough to consider loops in the "surface" direction. By the general Lipschitz model philosophy of Minsky, we know that a substring of the form ϕ^k in the monodromy looks like the cyclic cover of order k of the mapping torus of ϕ, and thus contributes $O(k)$ to the length of the meridian loop.

In fact, Minsky's methods generalize to higher genus (where our τ is now replaced by a Dehn twist around some curve), so the only question is whether there are any accidental short lo

5.5. **Bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian.** Consider the mapping torus M_ϕ of a generic element of length n (with respect to your favorite coset of your favorite nonelementary subgroup of the mapping class group), and consider $\lambda_1(M_\phi)$. What can we say? On the one hand, by Schoen's result [77], for any hyperbolic manifold N^3, we know that there exists a universal (and explicit) constant C such that

$$\lambda_1(N^3) > \frac{C}{V(N^3)}.$$

Schoen's argument uses Cheeger's inequality.

On the other hand, it is a celebrated result of P. Buser [15], that for any such N^3, we have an upper bound:

$$\lambda_1(N^3) < 4h(N^3) + 10h^2(N^3),$$

where $h(N^3)$ is the Cheeger constant of N^3. Another ingredient is the following estimate due to M. Lackenby (see [49, 50],) based on the work of Pitts-Rubinstein [63] which is still not entirely written up. Luckily, the requisite bound can be produced using harmonic sweepouts, as in the beautiful paper of J. Hass, A. Thompson, and W. P. Thurston [37]):

Theorem 5.12 (Lackenby [49])**.** *The Cheeger constant of a hyperbolic 3-manifold* N^3 *fibered over the circle, with fiber a surface of genus g is at most*

$$\frac{16\pi g}{V(N^3}$$

Remark 5.13. The following explicit two-sided bound were shown by D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni, and J. Purcell:

Theorem 5.14 ([25])*. Let* M^3 *be a hyperbolic manifold of volume V, and Heegaard genus g. Then*

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2^{50}V^2} \leqslant \lambda_1(M) \leqslant 32\pi\frac{g-1}{V} + 640\pi^2\frac{(g-1)^2}{V^2}.$$

Putting all of these results together with Corollary 5.4, we get:

Corollary 5.15. *The bottom eigenvalue $\lambda_1(N)$ of the Laplacian for a mapping torus with random monodromy of length N satisfies*

$$\frac{C}{N^2} < \lambda_1(N) < \frac{C}{N},$$

for some $C > 0$, depending on the generators.

Corollary 5.15 is obviously not entirely satisfying, and the author conjectures (following some conversations with Juan Souto):

Conjecture 5.16. *With notation as above,*

$$\lambda_1(N) \sim \frac{C}{N}$$

It should be noted that if we pick some ϵ and r and consider hyperbolic 3-manifolds N^3 of injectivity radius bounded below by ϵ and the rank of fundamental group bounded above by r, then, in the beautiful paper [81], Nina White shows:

$$\frac{C_1}{V^2(N^3)} < \lambda_1(N^3) < \frac{C_2}{V^2(N^3)}.$$

In our situation, the rank is bounded, but the injectivity radius is not.

5.6. **Dilatation of a random pseudo-Anosov.** Since the log dilatation of a random pseudo-Anosov automorphism is just the top Lyapunov exponent of its derivative cocycle (this follows from the ergodicity of the action), it follows from the Oseledec ergodic theorem that the log dilatation of a random product grows linearly with the length. From this, we get the following result:

Fact 5.17. *For a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\phi \in \mathrm{Mod}_g$,obtained as a random word of length N in the generators of a non-elementary subgroup Γ of Mod_g, or a random element in a fixed coset $h\Gamma$ of such a subgroup, the ratio between the log of the dilatation of ϕ and the hyperbolic volume of M_ϕ lies, with probability approaching 1 as $N \to \infty$, in some interval $[C_1, C_2]$, where $C_1 > 0$. If Conjecture 9.2 holds, we can take $C_1 = C_2$.*

The relationship between dilatation and volume has received a fair amount of attention, and it is known that without a lower bound on the injectivity radius of M_ϕ, one has families of examples where the ratio of volume to log of the dilatation is arbitrary small (see [52, 21]) In the paper [46] the authors study this ratio and prove *upper* bounds in some families of cases.

We finally remark that the dilatation comes up some quite unexpected settings, in particular that of symplectic topology, as can be gleaned from the very nice papers [23, 78].

5.7. **Essential surfaces.** Every mapping torus $M_\phi(S)$ contains at least one class of essential surfaces – those isotopic to a fiber S. In the case where the rational rank of the first homology of $M_\phi(S)$, Thurston's theory of the norm on homology tells us that there are infinitely many ways to fiber $M_\phi(S)$, which gives infinitely many distinct essential surfaces. However, not all fibers are alike. There is the following result of Bachman and Schleimer (which is analogous to Kevin Hartshorn's theorem (see [36]) in the setting of Heegaard splittings with large Heegaard distance:

Theorem 5.18 (D. Bachman and S. Schleimer, [7])**.** *If the curve complex translation distance of ϕ equals N, then, for any essential surface F not isotopic to a fiber of $M_\phi(S)$,*

$$-\chi(F) \geqslant N.$$

Theorem 5.18 immediately implies the following corollary:

Corollary 5.19. *The Euler characteristic of an essential surface not isotopic to the "standard" fiber in $M_\phi(S)$, for ϕ generated by a random walk of length n in a finitely generated non-elementary subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ grows linearly in n.*

Remark 5.20. This might lead one to conjecture that such a surface might not exist, but this would be incorrect: classical results of W. Floyd and A. Hatcher [24] show that, for S a punctured torus, there are *always* non-fiber essential surfaces.

6. Fibered manifolds with prescribed homology

Suppose we want a mapping torus with $b_1 = 1$, and a prescribed structure of the homology group. This is easy to arrange: we find an $f \in \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$, with the elementary divisors of $f - I$ having the requisite structure (this is possible by Theorem 2.5), take an F whose image under the Torelli homomorphism is f (that this can be done is a standard fact, see [20] and multiply it by some map in the Torelli subgroup. A generic map in the Torelli subgroup is pseudo-Anosov (see [57, 53, 56]), and, by the work of Tiozzo [80], has north-south dynamics, so the same is true of a generic element in a coset (see Theorem 5.4). Furthermore, by the work of Kaimanovich and Masur [44] on the nontriviality of the Poisson boundary of the mapping class group, we obtain the following counting result:

Theorem 6.1. *For any finite abelian group G, there exist at least $\exp(cV)$, for some $c > 0$ hyperbolic S_g bundles, whose hyperbolic volume is at most V, and whose first homology over the integers is isomorphic to $G \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.*

7. Random subgroups

In this section we remark the following:

Theorem 7.1. *Let γ_1, γ_2 be two random (Γ, N) elements of a nonelementary subgroup Γ of the mapping class group of a surface of genus g. Then with probability going to 1 as a function of N, the subgroup generated by γ_1 and γ_2 is free.*

Proof. This is a standard ping-pong argument. By the work of [80], γ_1 and γ_2 have north-south dynamics, and by the results of Kaimanovich-Masur (which state that the harmonic measure on PML is non-atomic), we know that that for large enough N the fixed points of γ_1 and γ_2 are not in each other's basin of attraction. □

Remark 7.2. The scheme of the proof of Theorem 7.1 is essentially the same as Guivarc'h's proof of the Tits alternative [34]. See also Richard Aoun's proof of a similar result for linear groups [4].

8. Fibered cusped manifolds and Dehn filling

In this section we look at mapping tori of automorphisms of *cusped* hyperbolic surfaces. Most of the results for closed surfaces carry over essentially verbatim, so here we will focus only on results specific to cusped surfaces.

The first result we need is the nice result of D. Futer and S. Schleimer [26] on the size of the cusp of mapping tori (we state here the slightly simpler version of their result where the surface has one cusp only).

Theorem 8.1. *Let F be a punctured surface, and let $\phi : F \to F$ be an orientation-preserving pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. Let M_ϕ be the mapping torus of ϕ, and let C be the maximal cusp neighborhood in M_ϕ. Then, we have the following bounds:*

$$\frac{\overline{d(\phi)}}{450\chi(F)^4} < \text{area}(\partial C) < 9\chi(F)^2\overline{d(\phi)},$$

while

$$\frac{\overline{d(\phi)}}{536\chi(F)^4} < \text{height}(\partial C) < -3\chi(F)\overline{d(\phi)}.$$

In the statement of Theorem 8.1, $\overline{d(\phi)}$ refers to the stable translation length of ϕ in the arc complex of F. As for the *height* of a torus, there is a preferred direction in ∂C, corresponding to the cusp of F. Call the length of the geodeisc in this direction λ. Then the height of ∂C is simply

$$\text{height}(\partial C) = \frac{\text{area}(\partial C)}{\lambda}.$$

For our purposes, all we need to know about stable translation distance in the arc complex is that it is bounded below by a multiple of the curve complex translation distance, and bounded above by a multiple of the pants complex translation distance. Combined with the results in Section 5, we get:

Corollary 8.2. *Both the area and the height of the maximal cusp of a random cusped mapping torus of F grow linearly with the length of the random monodromy (where the monodromy is chosen at random from a nonelementary subgroup of* $\mathrm{Mod}(F)$*.)*

Corollary 8.2 has a somewhat surprising consequence:

Theorem 8.3. *Let F be a surface of genus at least* 2*, with one puncture, and let* Γ *be a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Mod}(F)$ *whose image under the usual "forget the puncture" map to* $\mathrm{Mod}(\overline{F})$ *(where* $\overline{F}$ *is the surface F with the punctured filled in) is non-elementary, and let* ϕ *be a random element of length n of* Γ*. Then, with probability approaching* 1 *as n goes to infinity,* all *Dehn fillings of* M_ϕ *are hyperbolic.*

Proof. By Corollary 8.2, the height of ∂C is large, and so by the argument given in [2][Theorem 8.1] (see also Theorem 8.2 in the same paper, and the similar approach in [48]) there is at most *one* exceptional slope. That slope is the trivial slope, where we just close the cusp of *F*. However, since Γ projects onto a non-elementary subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\overline{F})$ under the forgetful map, the image of ϕ is pseudo-Anosov, with probability approaching one, so the filled-in bundle is hyperbolic also. □

Remark 8.4. In the case $\Gamma = \mathrm{Mod}(F)$ we already know that $b_1(M_\phi)$ is generically equal to 1, and since non-trivial Dehn filling reduces the rank of homology, all of the non-trivial fillings will be (generically) hyperbolic rational homology spheres (and, in particular, will not fiber over S^1.)

In the case when *F* is the punctured torus, Theorem 8.3 does not apply. However, we have the following result:

Corollary 8.5. *In the case when F is the punctured torus, generically all but the trivial filling will be hyperbolic. The trivial filling will have a solv geometric structure.*

Proof. The argument is identical to the proof of Theorem 8.3, noting that a three-manifold which fibers over S^1 with fiber T^2 and *hyperbolic* monodromy is a solv-manifold. See [74]. □

Remark 8.6. The results of this section apply *mutatis mutandis* for surfaces with multiple punctures, but stating them in that case would complicate the exposition.

8.1. **Integer homology spheres.** Using Theorem 2.5 and the observations in Section 6, we see that we can construct a coset H of the Torelli subgroup of F such that for any $\phi \in H$, $H_1(M_\phi(F), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$), and the generic such $M_\phi(F)$ is a hyperbolic manifold, and *all* Dehn surgeries are hyperbolic. In particular, this is true for all integral Dehn surgeries. Since any nontrivial integral Dehn surgery on this class of manifolds will give an integer homology sphere, we see that

Theorem 8.7. *For a generic mapping torus with monodromy in H,* all *nontrivial integral Dehn surgeries give* hyperbolic *integral homology spheres.*

By the defining property of Casson's invariant (see, for example, [76]), for each generic $M_\phi(F)$, the integral homology spheres described in Theorem 8.7 will be hyperbolic, and their Casson invariants will form an infinite arithmetic progression. Not only that, their volumes will be bounded above by the volume of $M_\phi(F)$ (see, for example, The step of this progression is equal to the second derivative of the Alexander polynomial (evaluated at 1). Since the volume is (eventually) strictly increasing, almost all of these integer homology spheres are pairwise non-homeomorphic. However, it is possible that they all have the same Casson invariant, since there appears to be no easy condition which confirms that the above-mentioned $\Delta''(1)$ is not equal to zero. Luckily, in our case we can finesse this point, using the following fact:

Fact 8.8. *The Alexander polynomial of a knot k in a homology sphere Σ, where $\Sigma\backslash k$ fibers over S^1 is the characteristic polynomial of the Torelli action of the monodromy.*

Since we know that there are fibered knots in $\mathbb{S}^3$ with $\Delta''(1) = 1$ (the trefoil knots leaps to mind), we know that we can construct the requisite monodromy, and thus, just as in the beginning of this section, a non-elementary subgroup with that monodromy, and we can, therefore, conclude with:

Theorem 8.9. *There are infinitely many mapping tori M_ϕ, such that the family of integral surgeries of M_ϕ gives a family of hyperbolic integer homology spheres whose set of Casson invariants equals $N, N+1, \ldots$.*

Remark 8.10. A result similar to Theorem 8.9 (also with a probabilistic proof) has been announced by A. Lubotzky, J. Maher, and C. Wu. Their result is stronger in that they generate *all* Casson invariants (while the argument above has some difficulties with low-lying values). On the other hand, they have much less control on the spaces they construct, and their method would certainly *not* even get control on volume, much less get all the examples be Dehn fillings of the same space.

8.2. **Essential surfaces.** It is an interesting, and completely open, in general, question whether a generic bundle contains any non-fiber essential surface. Luckily, thanks to the work of Floyd and Hatcher [24] and Culler, Jaco, Rubinstein [17], the answer is completely understood in the case where the fiber is a punctured torus. Their classification immediately implies the following counting result:

Theorem 8.11. *Let M_ϕ be the mapping torus of a random pseudo-Anosov automorphism of a punctured torus, with monodromy of length n. Then, the number of essential surfaces in M_ϕ is* asymptotic *to c^n, for some constant $c > 1$.*



FIGURE 1. Log of the order of torsion as a function of word length

9. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section we report on some experimental results, obtained with Mathematica and Twister. The setup was as follows: we looked at bundles of a genus 2 surface over the circle, by taking random *positive* products of the Humphries generators for the mapping class group (described below in Section 9.4). The experiment consisted of taking 1000 random products of each of the lengths $100, \ldots, 1000$, in increments of 10.

9.1. **Homology.** In the first experiment we computed the natural logarithm of the order of torsion in the homology of the bundle. In the first figure (Fig. 1), we plot the means of the log of the order of the torsion:

We see that the experimental results bear out our theoretical results better than we could have hoped (since we have no convergence speed estimates, it would have been conceivable that we would need to go a lot further to get this sort of linear fit). In case the reader is wondering what the slope of the straight line is, Mathematica reports that the line of best fit is:

$$\boxed{\text{log of torsion} = 0.023474 + 0.15187 \text{ word length}}$$

Next, we plot the variance in Figure 2. We have claimed that the logs of torsion should be normally distributed for random words of the same length. Let's see if that is true. As you see in Figure 3, the distribution looks kind of normal, but to convince ourselves more, let's do a quantile-quantile plot against the normal distribution (see Figure 4). We see that the fit is quite good.

9.2. **Volume.** Now, we look at the distribution of hyperbolic volumes of mapping tori of automorphisms of a surface of genus 2. We should remind the reader that in this setting our results are a lot weaker: we can show that the volume grows roughly linearly, but there is certainly no "multiplicative ergodic theorem", and,



FIGURE 2. The variance of the log of the order of torsion as a function of word length



FIGURE 3. The distribution of log of torsion of words of length 1000 in the Humphries generators



FIGURE 4. A QQ plot of the distribution of log torsion vs the standard Gaussian

indeed, since the proofs of such are usually based on subadditivity properties of the functions considered, while hyperbolic volumes are *not* subadditive[2], the proof of any such result for hyperbolic volume would require a new idea.Listing 1, for which the author would like to thank Saul Schleimer, gives an example of non-subadditivity.

LISTING 1. Non-subadditive volume

```
sage: S = snappy.twister.Surface('S_1_1')
sage: w = 'abbbbb'
sage: W = w.swapcase(); W
'ABBBBB'
sage: M = snappy.twister.Surface.bundle(S, w); M.volume()
2.02988321282
sage: M = snappy.twister.Surface.bundle(S, W); M.volume()
2.0298832128
sage: w + W
'abbbbbABBBBB'
sage: M = snappy.twister.Surface.bundle(S, w + W); M.volume()
6.0669922401
```

Remark 9.1. It was pointed out by David Futer that there is a number of "scientific" ways to construct families of examples.

(1) It is a result of R. Penner [62] that if a, b is a pair of curves whose union fills F, then (abusing notation, so a means a right-handed Dehn twist around a and b a right-handed twist around b), words of the form $a^m b^n$ gives pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism.
(2) Even simpler, since Dehn twists generate the mapping class group, products of (powers of) Dehn twists are pseudo-anosov.

With these caveats in mind, let's look at some picture (the experimental setup is the same as before: we do 1000 experiments with each (non-reduced) word length from 100 to 1000, in steps of 10. Firs, in Figure 5 we study the expectation of the hyperbolic volume. A single look should be enough to convince us that, submultiplicativity or no, there is a result there. A regression give the following empirical relationship:

$$\boxed{\text{expected hyperbolic volume} = -0.105385 + 0.665259 \text{ word length}}$$

Let's now look at the variances (to see if we should be ambitious, and try to prove

[2]The author would like to thank Jeff Brock for alerting the author this might be true



FIGURE 5. The mean volume of random monodromies in the mapping class group of the surface of genus 2.



FIGURE 6. The variance of volumes as a function of word length

a central limit theorem, as well). This is the content of Figure 6, which shows that a central limit theorem may well be in the cards. Finally, let's look at the distribution of volumes for a fixed word length. First, a histogram (Figure 7), which should bolster our confidence, and then a quantile-quantile plot (Figure 8). The results seem to indicate that there is an ergodic *and* a central limit theorem to be discovered. We state this as a conjecture:

Conjecture 9.2. *For any fixed generating set Γ of the mapping class group of the surface of genus g, let ϕ_N be given by a random word of length N. Then, if $V(\phi_N)$ is the volume of the mapping torus of ϕ_N, then there exists a constant $c(\Gamma)$ such that $V(\phi_N)/N$ converges almost surely to $c(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, the quantity $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}(V(\phi_N) - Nc(\Gamma))$ converges in distribution to $N(0, \sigma^2)$, for some positive $\sigma = \sigma(\Gamma)$.*

9.3. **Volume and homology.** Wolfgang Lück in [54] and N. Bergeron and A. Venkatesh in [9] conjecture that for towers of congruence covers, the ratio of



FIGURE 7. Distribution of volumes of unreduced words of length 1000



FIGURE 8. QQ plot of volumes of unreduced words of length 1000 vs the standard Gaussian

log of the torsion in homology divided by the volume approaches a limit. We see that (at least empirically) a similar phenomenon holds for random mapping tori with "complicated" monodromy. In particular, for such a mapping torus, the ratio of volume to log of the size of the torsion in the first homology group approaches around 4.381 for genus 2 bundles. In Table 1 below, we compute the growth rates for volume and log of torsion as a function of growth rates. It seems (though is not completely clear) that both the growth rates approach a limit as genus goes to infinity, and so, consequently, does their ratio. We will boldly state this as a conjecture:

Conjecture 9.3. *The (expected) growth rates of volume and logarithm of torsion as a function of word length of monodromy approach both approach a finite limit as genus of the fiber goes to infinity.*

genus	log torsion growth	volume growth	ratio
2	0.15187	0.665295	4.38068
3	0.163482	1.04903	6.41679
4	0.16938	1.18395	6.9899
5	0.172869	1.26366	7.30992
6	0.175353	1.31758	7.51387
7	0.177159	1.35517	7.649456
8	0.178507	1.38492	7.75835
9	0.179699	1.40891	7.84038
10	0.180552	1.42325	7.88277
11	0.181283	1.44077	7.94763
12	0.181848	1.45311	7.99079
13	0.182397	1.46369	8.02475
14	0.182987	1.47036	8.03532

TABLE 1. Growth rates of log of torsion and hyperbolic volume



FIGURE 9. defining the Humphries generators

Remark 9.4. In the setting of Lück"s, and Bergeron-Venkatesh [54, 9] the ratio of the volume to logarithm of the torsion should approach 6π, which the numbers in the third column of Table 1 clearly do not. The boldest possible conjecture is that they approach 3π. The reader should not be perturbed by the difference of the numbers, since our model is really quite different[3]

9.4. **The Humphries generators for the Mapping Class Group of a closed surface.** Steve Humphries showed in [42]that one has the following set of generators for the mapping class group of a surface of genus g. First, we use the notation in Figure 9 With the notation introduced in Figure 9, the Humphries generating set is the set of Dehn twists $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{g-1}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$. With a little bit of work, we can figure out the action of the Humphries generators on the first homology of the surface (Joan Birman had previously showed (see [11]) that these matrices generated $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$). We give the corresponding matrices below in Mathematica code:

[3]Stefan Friedl tells the author that there are good reasons to believe that the answer should, indeed, be 3π, though the evidence of Table 1 is certainly not definitive.

```
Ematrix[i_, j_, n_] :=
 Table[If[(k == i && j == l) || k == l, 1, 0], {k, 1, n}, {l, 1, n}]

BirmanY[g_, i_] :=
 Table[If[k == l, 1, If[k == i && l == g + i, -1, 0]], {k, 1,
   2 g}, {l, 1, 2 g}]

BirmanU[g_, i_] :=
 Table[If[k == l, 1, If[l == i && k == g + i, 1, 0]], {k, 1,
   2 g}, {l, 1, 2 g}]

BirmanZ[g_, i_] :=
 Table[If[k == l, 1,
   If[k == i && l == g + i, -1,
    If[k == i + 1 && l == g + i + 1, -1,
     If[k == i && l == g + i + 1, 1,
      If[k == i + 1 && l == g + i, 1, 0]]]]], {k, 1, 2 g}, {l, 1,
   2 g}]

BirmanHump[g_] :=
 Union[Table[BirmanU[g, i], {i, 1, g}],
  Table[BirmanZ[g, i], {i, 1, g - 1}], {BirmanY[g, 1], BirmanY[g, 2]}]
```

The command BirmanHump[g] produces the full list of images of the Humphries generators.

10. Other generating sets

The fact that the growth rate of torsion seems to be asymptotically independent of genus would seem to be more a statement about linear groups than about surfaces, and it would seem useful to conduct the experiment with other natural generating sets for the symplectic group, as well as for the special linear group (where the more natural quantity to study is the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents). We conduct the study with the small generating sets for both groups: for the special linear group, the natural generating set is the one of cardinality 2 discovered by Hua and Reiner. For the symplectic group, the natural generating set is the one discovered by Stanek. To keep the presentation consistent, we give Mathematica code to generate both generating sets, and then give our experimental

results in Tables 2 and 3.The results in those two tables are for the random products of *positive* powers of the generators.

First, the Hua and Reiner ([41] generators:

```
HRU2[n_] :=
 Table[If[i == j || (i == 1 && j == 2), 1, 0], {i, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}]

 HRU5[n_] :=
 Table[If[i - j == 1, 1, If[i == 1 && j == n, (-1)^(n - 1), 0]], {i,
   1, n}, {j, 1, n}]

 hrgenlist[n_] := {HRU2[n], HRU5[n]}
```

The last command hrgenlist[n] produces the pair of Hua-Reiner generators for the special linear group.

Now, the Stanek generators (see [79]):

```
R21[n_] := Table[
  If[i == j, 1,
   If[i == 2 && j == 1, 1, If[i == n + 1 && j == n + 2, -1, 0]]], {i,
   1, 2 n}, {j, 1, 2 n}]

Tk[n_, k_] :=
 Table[If[i == j, 1, If[i == n + k && j == k, 1, 0]], {i, 1,
   2 n}, {j, 1, 2 n}]

Dd[n_] := Table[
  If[j - i == 1 && (i < n || (i >= n + 1 && i < 2 n)), 1,
   If[i == n && j == n + 1 , -1, If[ i == 2 n && j == 1, 1, 0]]], {i,
   1, 2 n}, {j, 1, 2 n}]

stanekgens[n_] :=
  If[n == 2 || n == 3, {R21[n], Tk[n, 1], Dd[n]},
   If[n == 1, hrgenlist[2], {R21[n] . Tk[n, 1], Dd[n]}]]
```

The command stanekgens[n] produces the Stanek generators of the symplectic group. for $\mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ the generating set has cardinality 3, and otherwise cardinality 2.

Interestingly, the sum of the to n Lyapunov exponents of the Stanek generators seems to quickly increase to the asymptote in the case of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$, while the sum

n	sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents
2	0.00108941
3	0.00108922
4	0.00108562
5	0.00107511
6	0.0010696
7	0.00107539
8	0.00106576
9	0.00106297
10	0.00106195
11	0.00105847
12	0.00105281
13	0.00104964
14	0.00104896
15	0.00104435

TABLE 2. The sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents of the Hua-Reiner semigroup for $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$

of the positive Lyapunov exponents is *decreasing* (not obviously to any asymptotic lower bound) for the Hua-Reiner generators.

11. Random Heegard Splittings

As mentioned in the Introduction, this work has its genesis in the foundational paper of N. Dunfield and W. P. Thurston[18]. Let us recall Dunfield and Thurston's setup. We first pick an integer $g > 1$. Then, we take two handlebodies H_1 and H_2 of genus g, and identify the boundary of H_1 to that of H_2 via a map ϕ – it is well-known that the topology of the resulting 3-manifold H^3_ϕ depends only on the isotopy class of ϕ, so we can produce random manifolds by generating random elements ϕ from Mod_g, which is, indeed, what Dunfield and Thurston do. They then study a number of questions (they work in much lesser generality than we do in this paper, and their questions are for the *whole* mapping class group. Below, we will try to indicate the appropriate level of generality.

11.1. **Homology.** To compute the homology of H^3_ϕ, Dunfield and Thurston note that if $\iota_* : H_1(\partial H_g, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(H_g, \mathbb{Z})$ is the natural map on homology induced by the inclusion map of the surface ∂H_g into the handlebody, then, if the two copies of H_g are identified by the map ϕ to produce a three-manifold H^3_ϕ, then the homology of H^3_ϕ is the quotient of $H_1(\partial H_g, \mathbb{Z})$ by the subspace generated by $J = \ker \iota_*$ and

n	$\log\det(M-I)$
2	0.132424
3	0.140012
4	0.0.191286
5	0.192716
6	0.193323
7	0.19334
8	0.193514
9	0.193462
10	0.193432
11	0.193047
12	0.193162
13	0.193178
14	0.19321
15	0.0.193219

TABLE 3. The sum of expectation of the positive Lyapunov exponents of the Stanek semigroup for $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{Z})$

$\phi_*^{-1}(J)$. They note that, with respect to the intersection pairing on $H_1(\partial H_g,\mathbb{Z})$, the subspace J is Lagrangian (since J is generated by the g meridians, which are pairwise disjoint). Dunfield and Thurston then use this observation and some hand-counting of Lagrangian subspaces to compute the asymptotic behavior of $H_1(H^3_\phi,\mathbb{F}_p)$, and thus deduce that the first Betti number of H^3_ϕ is generically equal to zero (so that H^3_ϕ is generically a rational homology sphere), while it is generically *not* an integer homology sphere. Here we note that, by using somewhat more sophisticated methods we can get a much stronger result:

Theorem 11.1. *If the gluing map ϕ is chosen uniformly at random from words of length N in some generating set of $\mathrm{Mod}(g)$, the expected cardinality of the logarithm of the cardinality of the first homology group of H^3_ϕ is linear in n. Furthermore, the logarithm satisfies a central limit theorem:*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\log|H_1(H^3_\phi)| - n\lambda\right) \sim N(0,\sigma^2),$$

for some positive constants λ,σ. Furthermore the probability that $\left|\log|H_1(H^3_\phi)| - n\lambda\right| > m\lambda$ decays exponentially with m.

Remark 11.2. In the proof we only use the fact that $\mathrm{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z})$ – the image of $\mathrm{Mod}(g)$ under the Torelli map – is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{Sp}(2g)$, so our results apply without change to any subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(g)$ which has Zariski-dense Torelli image.

Proof of Theorem 11.1. To prove Theorem 11.1 let's first think J as the "standard" Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$, and let K be the standard complementary Lagrangian subspace. Consider a symplectic map Σ. In the basis (J,K), we have

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} A(\Sigma) & B(\Sigma) \\ C(\Sigma) & D(\Sigma) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Dunfield-Thurston description tells us that $H_1(H^3_\phi) \simeq \operatorname{coker} B(\phi_*)$, so this is the object we will study in the sequel.

Consider the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{R})$ on the space of g-isotropic vectors of $\bigwedge^g \mathbb{R}^{2g}$ (this is the span of basis of Lagrangian g-dimensional spaces in $\bigwedge^g \mathbb{R}^{2g}$. This action is well-known to be irreducible (see, e.g. [65, 30]). Since $\mathrm{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{R})$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-split algebraic group, the results of Abels, Margulis, and Soifer [1][Section 6] together with the results of Goldsheid and Margulis [28] show that any Zariski-dense subgroup contains a proximal element, and so its action is contracting. Since $\mathrm{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{R})$ is Zariski-connected, it follows that the action of any Zariski-dense subgroup on the g-isotropic subspace is *strongly irreducible*. These to facts together imply that we can use the theory of random matrix products, specifically the Central Limit Theorem and the Large Deviation Theorem for the matrix coefficients of such random products, as found, for example, in [12][Chapter V], upon remarking that the determinant of $V(\Sigma)$ is just the JKth matrix coefficient of the induced action of Σ on the g-isotropic subspace of $\bigwedge^g \mathbb{R}^{2g}$. □

An amusing corollary of Theorem 11.1 is the following:

Corollary 11.3. *The complexity of H^3_ϕ with ϕ chosen as in the statement of Theorem 11.1 grows linearly with the length n of the walk.*

Recall that the *complexity* of a hyperbolic 3-manifold M^3 is defined as the minimal number of simplices in a (semi-simplicial) triangulation of M.

Proof of Corollary 11.3. The linear upper bound is immediate. For the lower bound, we use the result of Matveev and Pervova [58], which states that the complexity of M^3 is bounded below by the logarithm base 5 of the torsion in the first homology of M^3 – see [59][Theorem 2.6.2] □

11.2. **Betti numbers of covering spaces.** Dunfield and Thurston [18] raise the following question:

Question 11.4. Suppose N_ϕ is a random Heegard splitting (in the usual sense), and suppose $\tilde{N}_\phi$ has a Galois covering space with deck group Q. What is the probability that $\tilde{N}_\phi$ has first nonzero first Betti number?

Dunfield and Thurston show that the probability tends to 0 for a random gluing map ϕ under the (obviously restrictive) conditions that the genus of the handlebody equals 2 and the deck group Q is abelian – their proof is an elaboration of their argument that a random Heegard splitting is a homology sphere, and is an explicit bare-hands argument.

A refinement of the proof of Theorem 11.1 gives the result in much greater generality:

Theorem 11.5. *Let Q be a finite* solvable *group. Then the probability that the* 3*-manifold N_ϕ obtained from a random Heegard splitting of genus $g > 1$ has $b_1 > 0$ tends to* 0 *(exponentially with the length of the word defining the gluing map).*

Proof sketch. The rational homology of the Q cover $\tilde{N}_\phi$ of N_ϕ splits into irreducible representations of Q, and the proof of Theorem 11.5 would follow immediately by the same argument as that of Theorem 11.1 *if* we knew that the action of the mapping class group on each irreducible were a Zariski dense subgroup of the symplectic group. An adaptation of the argument of [31] (where the setup is slightly different) shows that the action is *arithmetic* (that is, a lattice in the corresponding symplectic group), under a technical condition ("ϕ-redundancy") which is satisfied when Q is solvable. □

11.3. **Other topological invariants.** In their foundational paper [61] H. Namazi and J. Souto show that a manifold $M^3_{f^n}$ obtained by gluing two handlebody of genus g by a (sufficiently high) power of a "generic pseudo-Anosov" has Heegaard genus g and rank of fundamental group equal to g. Since a random map ϕ given by a sufficiently long word in (some) generators of $\mathrm{Mod}(g)$ has arbitrary high power of any given element f as a subword, we have

Theorem 11.6. *manifold obtained by a random Heegaard genus of genus g by a random gluing map has both Heegaard and rank of the fundamental group equal to g.*

Another natural question (closely related to Dunfield and Thurston's set of questions) is whether a random Heegaard splitting is Haken. Unfortunately, this seems to be a hard problem, but what we can say is that if an incompressible surface exists, it is of a very high genus. This follows from two facts. The first, is the following theorem of Kevin Hartshorn [36]:

Theorem 11.7 (K. Hartshorn)**.** *Let M be a Haken* 3*-manifold containing an imcompressible surface of genus g. Than* any *Heegaard splitting of M has translation distance* at most $2g$.

The second is J. Maher's [55] result that the translation distance of a random automorphism ϕ increases linearly with the combinatorial length of ϕ. Put together, these imply:

Theorem 11.8. *For a random gluing map ϕ of combinatorial length n, N^3_ϕ almost surely has no incompressible surfaces of genus smaller cn, where the constant c depends on the genus and the generating set.*

Theorem 11.8 invites the obvious conjecture:

Conjecture 11.9. *The manifold N^3_ϕ, as in the statement of Theorem 11.8, is almost surely not Haken.*

11.4. **Geometric quantities.** The central geometric quantity is clearly the hyperbolic volume. Dunfield and Thurston conjecture that volume grows *linearly* in the length of the gluing map. The results of H. Namazi and J. Souto [61] tell us since a random gluing map has "blocks" of the form f^k for some (actually, every possible short enough) f, and each such block looks like a cover of the mapping torus of f, we have the following theorem, which resolves the conjecture of Dunfield-Thurston:

Theorem 11.10. *The volume of N^3_ϕ, for ϕ a random word of length n grows linearly in n. Furthermore, the Cheeger constant of N^3_ϕ goes to zero, as does the bottom eigenvalue of the Laplacian, as does the injectivity radius. The rates of change are the same as for fibered surfaces.*

Proof. The statement on the volume follows from the discussion above, and the trivial observation that the word giving ϕ will have a linear number of blocks of the form f^k for *any* f of combinatorial length $O(1)$. Indeed, one can (but we don't need to) show sharp limit theorems – see [22][XIII.7,eq. (7.8)].

The statements on the other geometric quantities follow from the results of [61] and the arguments for fibered manifolds. □

Remark 11.11. The astute reader will note that we only show a linear *lower* bound. However, an upper bound follows from a standard argument which shows that the number of simplices in a triangulation of N^3_ϕ grows at most linearly in the length of ϕ, hence so does the hyperbolic volume.

11.5. **Experimental Results.** The proof of Theorem 11.10 only shows that volume grows "coarsely linearly", but of course it is natural to ask what the truth is. The author has conducted extensive experiments, and below are two sample graphs (for genus equal 2 and 5.) The experiment consisted of computing one thousand random splitting of each of the lengths consider (roughly from 200 to 1000 in



FIGURE 10. Mean values of volume for random splittings of genus 2 (slope 0.66)



FIGURE 11. Mean values of volume for random splittings of genus 5 (slope 1.26)

multiples of 10), and plotting the mean. The reader can see that the graphs are about as linear as one could wish for, which leads us to strengthen the Dunfield-Thurston conjecture:

Conjecture 11.12. *Volume of random Heegaard splittings of combinatorial length n satisfy a central limit theorem: there exist positive constants β and σ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(V(N^3_\phi) - n\beta)) \to N(0, \sigma^2).$$

To convince the reader that the conjecture is plausible we include also the graph of the variances for each length, and the histograms of the empirical distributions.



FIGURE 12. Distribution of volume for random splittings of genus 5 and combinatorial length 900.



FIGURE 13. Variance of volume for random splittings of genus 2



FIGURE 14. Variance of volume for random splittings of genus 5

12. In conclusion

It is fair to say that we now have good (though far from perfect) understanding of both random mapping tori and random Heegaard splittings. The first question is: what do we do with it? In combinatorics, the probabilistic method uses the understanding of random objects to use them as building blocks to construct particularly interesting examples. We have not yet done this in the setting of 3-dimensional manifolds, but it is not inconceivable that this would be a promising way to go about proving the virtual fibering theorem, which would be, quite likely, more constructive than the Agol-Wise machine (this was almost certainly the intent of Dunfield and Thurston, but new ideas are needed).

It is also clear that at the moment the most natural model of random manifolds (*via* random triangulations) is completely out of reach – it is not even clear what tools are needed. There has been some very nice work on random complexes and hypergraphs (see [51, 6, 5, 40],) but the methods clearly do not extend to the manifold setting (even to the setting of two-dimensional manifolds, where the theory of random triangulations is quite well-developed).

References

[1] H. Abels, G. A. Margulis, and G. A. Soĭfer. Semigroups containing proximal linear maps. *Israel J. Math.*, 91(1-3):1–30, 1995.

[2] Ian Agol. Bounds on exceptional Dehn filling. *Geom. Topol.*, 4:431–449, 2000.

[3] Ian Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Manning. The virtual haken conjecture. *Documenta Mathematica*, 18:1045–1087, 2013.

[4] Richard Aoun. Random subgroups of linear groups are free. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 160(1):117–173, 2011.

[5] Lior Aronshtam, Nathan Linial, Tomasz Łuczak, and Roy Meshulam. Collapsibility and vanishing of top homology in random simplicial complexes. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 49(2):317–334, 2013.

[6] Eric Babson, Christopher Hoffman, and Matthew Kahle. The fundamental group of random 2-complexes. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 24(1):1–28, 2011.

[7] David Bachman and Saul Schleimer. Surface bundles versus Heegaard splittings. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 13(5):903–928, 2005.

[8] Mark Bell, Tracy Hall, and Saul Schleimer. Twister (computer software). `https://bitbucket.org/Mark_Bell/twister/`, 2008–2014. Version 2.4.1.

[9] N Bergeron and A Venkatesh. The asymptotic growth of torsion homology for arithmetic groups, preprint, 2010. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1004.1083*.

[10] Ian Biringer and Juan Souto.

[11] Joan S Birman. On siegel's modular group. *Mathematische Annalen*, 191(1):59–68, 1971.

[12] P. Bougerol and J. Lacroix. *Products of random matrices with applications to Schrödinger operators*. Birkhäuser, 1985.

[13] Jeffrey F. Brock. The Weil-Petersson metric and volumes of 3-dimensional hyperbolic convex cores. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(3):495–535 (electronic), 2003.

[14] Jeffrey F. Brock. Weil-Petersson translation distance and volumes of mapping tori. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 11(5):987–999, 2003.
[15] Peter Buser. A note on the isoperimetric constant. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 15(2):213–230, 1982.
[16] Nick Chavdarov. The generic irreducibility of the numerator of thezeta function in a family of curves with large monodromy. 1995.
[17] M. Culler, W. Jaco, and H. Rubinstein. Incompressible surfaces in once-punctured torus bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 45(3):385–419, 1982.
[18] Nathan M Dunfield and William P Thurston. Finite covers of random 3-manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 166(3):457–521, 2006.
[19] Paul Erdős and Alfréd Rényi. On a new law of large numbers. *J. Analyse Math.*, 23:103–111, 1970.
[20] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
[21] Albert Fathi. Dehn twists and pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.*, 87(1):129–151, 1987.
[22] William Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. I*. Third edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1968.
[23] Alexander Fel'shtyn. The growth rate of symplectic Floer homology. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 12(1-2):93–119, 2012.
[24] W. Floyd and A. Hatcher. Incompressible surfaces in punctured-torus bundles. *Topology Appl.*, 13(3):263–282, 1982.
[25] David Futer, Efstratia Kalfagianni, and Jessica S. Purcell. On diagrammatic bounds of knot volumes and spectral invariants. *Geom. Dedicata*, 147:115–130, 2010.
[26] David Futer and Saul Schleimer. Cusp geometry of fibered 3-manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1108.5748*, 2011.
[27] I Ya Goldsheid and Yves Guivarc'h. Zariski closure and the dimension of the gaussian low of the product of random matrices. i. *Probability theory and related fields*, 105(1):109–142, 1996.
[28] I. Ya. Gol'dsheĭd and G. A. Margulis. Lyapunov exponents of a product of random matrices. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 44(5(269)):13–60, 1989.
[29] I Ya Gol'dsheid and Grigorii Aleksandrovich Margulis. Lyapunov indices of a product of random matrices. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 44(5):11–71, 1989.
[30] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, volume 255 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
[31] Fritz Grunewald, Michael Larsen, Alexander Lubotzky, and Justin Malestein. Arithmetic quotients of the mapping class group. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.2593*, 2013.
[32] Yves Guivarc'h. On the spectrum of a large subgroup of a semisimple group. *JOURNAL OF MODERN DYNAMICS*, 2(1):15–42, 2008.
[33] Yves Guivarc'h and Albert Raugi. Actions of large semigroups and random walks on isometric extensions of boundaries. In *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 40, pages 209–249. Elsevier, 2007.
[34] Yves Guivarc'h. Produits de matrices aléatoires et applications aux propriétés géométriques des sous-groupes du groupe linéaire. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 10(3):483–512, 1990.
[35] Frédéric Haglund and Daniel T. Wise. Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(5):1551–1620, 2008.

[36] Kevin Hartshorn. Heegaard splittings of Haken manifolds have bounded distance. *Pacific J. Math.*, 204(1):61–75, 2002.
[37] Joel Hass, Abigail Thompson, and William Thurston. Stabilization of Heegaard splittings. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(4):2029–2050, 2009.
[38] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
[39] Eriko Hironaka. Quotient families of mapping classes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.3197*, 2012.
[40] Christopher Hoffman, Matthew Kahle, and Elliot Paquette. The threshold for integer homology in random d-complexes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.6232*, 2013.
[41] LK Hua and Irving Reiner. On the generators of the symplectic modular group. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 65(3):415–426, 1949.
[42] Stephen P Humphries. Generators for the mapping class group. In *Topology of low-dimensional manifolds*, pages 44–47. Springer, 1979.
[43] F. Jouve, E. Kowalski, and D. Zywina. Splitting fields of characteristic polynomials of random elements in arithmetic groups. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, pages 1–44, 2010.
[44] Vadim A Kaimanovich and Howard Masur. The poisson boundary of the mapping class group. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 125(2):221–264, 1996.
[45] Anders Karlsson and Gregory A. Margulis. A multiplicative ergodic theorem and nonpositively curved spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 208(1):107–123, 1999.
[46] E. Kin, S. Kojima, and M. Takasawa. Entropy versus volume for pseudo-Anosovs. *Experiment. Math.*, 18(4):397–407, 2009.
[47] Sadayoshi Kojima. Entropy, Weil-Petersson translation distance and Gromov norm for surface automorphisms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(11):3993–4002, 2012.
[48] Marc Lackenby. Word hyperbolic Dehn surgery. *Invent. Math.*, 140(2):243–282, 2000.
[49] Marc Lackenby. Heegaard splittings, the virtually haken conjecture and property (τ). *Inventiones mathematicae*, 164(2):317–359, 2006.
[50] Marc Lackenby. Finite covering spaces of 3-manifolds. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, volume 2, pages 1042–1070, 2010.
[51] Nathan Linial and Roy Meshulam. Homological connectivity of random 2-complexes. *Combinatorica*, 26(4):475–487, 2006.
[52] D. D. Long and H. R. Morton. Hyperbolic 3-manifolds and surface automorphisms. *Topology*, 25(4):575–583, 1986.
[53] A. Lubotzky and C. Meiri. Sieve methods in group theory ii: the mapping class group. *Geometriae Dedicata*, pages 1–10, 2011.
[54] Wolfgang Lück. *L^2-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, volume 44 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
[55] Joseph Maher. Linear progress in the complex of curves. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(6):2963–2991, 2010.
[56] Joseph Maher. Random walks on the mapping class group. *Duke Math. J.*, 156(3):429–468, 2011.
[57] Justin Malestein and Juan Souto. On genericity of pseudo-anosovs in the torelli group. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2013(6):1434–1449, 2013.
[58] S. V. Matveev and E. L. Pervova. Lower bounds for the complexity of three-dimensional manifolds. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 378(2):151–152, 2001.
[59] Sergei Matveev. *Algorithmic topology and classification of 3-manifolds*, volume 9 of *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, second edition, 2007.

[60] Yair N. Minsky. The classification of punctured-torus groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(2):559–626, 1999.
[61] Hossein Namazi and Juan Souto. Heegaard splittings and pseudo-Anosov maps. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(4):1195–1228, 2009.
[62] Robert C. Penner. A construction of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 310(1):179–197, 1988.
[63] Jon T Pitts and J Hyam Rubinstein. Applications of minimax to minimal surfaces and the topology of 3-manifolds, miniconference on geometry and partial differential equations, 2 (canberra 1986). In *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ*, volume 12, pages 137–170, 1987.
[64] Gopal Prasad and Andrei S Rapinchuk. Existence of irreducible r-regular elements in zariski-dense subgroups. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 10:21–32, 2003.
[65] Claudio Procesi. *Lie groups*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2007. An approach through invariants and representations.
[66] Pál Révész. *Random walk in random and nonrandom environments*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1990.
[67] I. Rivin. Growth in free groups (and other stories). *arXiv preprint math/9911076*, 1999.
[68] I. Rivin. Walks on groups, counting reducible matrices, polynomials, and surface and free group automorphisms. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 142(2):353–379, 2008.
[69] I. Rivin. Walks on graphs and lattices–effective bounds and applications. In *Forum Mathematicum*, volume 21, pages 673–685, 2009.
[70] I. Rivin. Growth in free groups (and other stories)—twelve years later. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 54(1):327–370, 2010.
[71] Igor Rivin. Zariski density and genericity. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (19):3649–3657, 2010.
[72] Igor Rivin. Large galois groups with applications to zariski density. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.3009*, 2013.
[73] Igor Rivin. Generic phenomena in groups: some answers and many questions. In Hee Oh and Emmanuel Breuillard, editors, *Thin groups and superstrong approximation*, number 61 in Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., pages 299–323. Cambridge, New York, 2014.
[74] Igor Rivin. Generic phenomena in groups: some answers and many questions. *Thin groups and superstrong approximation*, (61):299–323, 2014.
[75] Igor Rivin. Statistics of random 3-manifolds fibering over the circle. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.5736*, 2014.
[76] Nikolai Saveliev. *Lectures on the topology of 3-manifolds*. de Gruyter Textbook. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, revised edition, 2012. An introduction to the Casson invariant.
[77] Richard Schoen. A lower bound for the first eigenvalue of a negatively curved manifold. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(2):233–238, 1982.
[78] Ivan Smith. Floer cohomology and pencils of quadrics. *Invent. Math.*, 189(1):149–250, 2012.
[79] Peter Stanek. Two-element generation of the symplectic group. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 108(3):429–436, 1963.
[80] Giulio Tiozzo. Sublinear deviation between geodesics and sample paths. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1210.7352*, 2012.
[81] Nina White. Spectral bounds on closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 87(3):837–852, 2013.

Mathematics Department, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA
Current address: Mathematics Department, Brown University and ICERM, Providence, RI
E-mail address: rivin@temple.edu